

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 070708

10

ORIGIN L-03

INFO OCT-01 AF-05 ARA-10 EUR-06 EA-04 ADP-00 COA-01 RSC-01

IO-03 /034 R

66660

DRAFTED BY: L/ OA: MHNORDQUIST

APPROVED BY: L/ OA: MHNORDQUIST

----- 087669

R 162130 Z APR 73

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY KUALA LUMPUR

AMEMBASSY BUENOS AIRES

AMCONSUL HONG KONG

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY DAR ES SALAAM

UNCLAS STATE 070708

FOLLOWING SENT ACTION SECSTATE APRIL 6, FROM USUNNY REPEATED  
TO YOU QUOTE:

UNCLAS USUN 1241

E. O. 11652: NA

TAGS: PBOR, UN

SUBJ: LOS: SUBCOMMITTEE II, APRIL 5, SECOND SESSION

1. SUMMARY. CHINA COMMENTED ON STRAITS PROPOSAL AND CRITICIZED  
SOVIET UNION WHICH RESPONDED IN KIND. ARGENTINA INTRODUCED  
DRAFT ARTICLES ON TERRITORIAL SEA, COASTAL STATE RIGHTS IN  
AREA BEYOND TERRITORIAL SEA AND CONTINENTAL SHELF. SEVERAL  
OTHER DELEGATIONS ALSO MADE STATEMENTS.

2. WORKING GROUP CHAIRMAN KEDADI REPORTED ON PROGRESS  
IN WROKING GROUP AND REPORTED ALL POINTS OF VIEW EXPRESSED  
ON ALL ISSUES. SAID THAT WORKING GROUP HAD AGREED IN PRINCIPLE  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 070708

TO SET UP SMALL INFORMAL GROUPS TO WORK ON INDIVIDUAL ISSUES AFTER DISCUSSION COMPLETED ON EACH ISSUE IN WORKING GROUP BUT IT WAS FELT THAT IT WAS TOO SOON TO ACTUALLY SET UP ANY GROUPS.

3. CHILE DISCUSSED ARTICLES BASED ON SANTO DOMINGO DECLARATION AND SAID THEY WERE SIMILAR TO KENYA PROPOSAL IN THAT BOTH ATTEMPTED TO BALANCE ALL INTERESTS INVOLVED. SAID THERE WAS INDISPENSABLE TIE BETWEEN TERRITORIAL SEA AND PATRIMONIAL SEA.

4. COLOMBIA MADE GENERAL STATEMENT FAVORING COASTAL STATE RESOURCE JURISDICTION AND THEN SAID THOSE FAVORING 200 MILE TERRITORIAL SEA MIGHT ACCEPT 12 MILE LIMIT IF SUFFICIENT RIGHTS GIVEN IN AREA BEYOND.

5. NORWAY STRESSED THAT RIGHT OF TRANSIT IN TERRITORIAL SEA SHOULD BE TREATED SEPARATELY FROM QUESTION OF STRAITS. HE SAID THERE APPEARS TO BE CONSENSUS THAT SOLUTION OF TRANSIT MUST BE BASED ON RIGHT OF INNOCENT PASSAGE. HE SUGGESTED THAT IT MAY PROVE IMPRACTICABLE TO FORMULATE PRECISE FORMULATION OF THIS RIGHT AND IN THE INTERESTS OF REACHING EVENTUAL AGREEMENT IT MAY BE NECESSARY TO ADOPT BROAD FORMULATION WHICH WOULD BE BETTER THAN NO AGREEMENT AT ALL. HE SUGGESTED THAT GENEVA CONVENTION FORMULATION MAY REPRESENT BEST SOLUTION FOR DEFINITION OF INNOCENT PASSAGE. RECOGNIZING THE CONCERNS OF COASTAL STATES WITH RISK OF POLLUTION, THERE IS NEED FOR INTERNATIONAL RULES AND STANDARDS ( SHIP CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATING PROCEDURES). NORWEGIAN REP SAID WHILE ENFORCEMENT OF SUCH RULES AND STANDARDS SHOULD BE BY FLAG STATES, POSSIBILITY OF GIVING COASTAL STATES INSPECTION RIGHTS COULD NOT BE EXCLUDED AND THIS MIGHT BE BASIS FOR COMPROMISE.

6. MALAYSIA STATED THAT STRAITS STATES PROPOSALS REFLECT INTERESTS OF INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY. MALAYSIA REJECTS SEPARATE REGIMES FOR TERRITORIAL SEA AND STRAITS AND CHARGED THAT THOSE COUNTRIES WHO ACT AS GUARDIANS OF THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY INTERESTS ARE IN FACT CONCERNED ONLY WITH THEIR OWN STRATEGIC INTERESTS. THESE PROPOSALS DID NOT HAMPER INTERNATIONAL NAVIGATION. HE STATED THAT NO COASTAL STATE WILL GIVE UP ITS SOVEREIGNTY BY ALLOWING UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 070708

AN INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION SUCH AS IMCO TO IMPOSE

REGULATIONS AND IN ANY CASE, SUCH REGULATIONS WOULD NOT APPLY FOR WAR SHIPS AND SUBMARINES. HE CONCLUDED BY SAYING THAT FREE TRANSIT PROPOSALS WERE UNACCEPTABLE TO MALAYSIA.

7. ZAMBIAN REP URGED THAT LOS CONVENTION CONTAIN ARTICLES ON TRANSIT RIGHTS FOR LANDLOCKED COUNTRIES THROUGH LAND, INTERNAL WATERS, AND TERRITORIAL SEA. HE EXPRESSED CONCERN WITH ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS OF SEABED MINING FOR COUNTRIES SUCH AS ZAMBIA AND URGED THAT BENEFIT SHARING FORMULA SHOULD TAKE SUCH POSSIBLE ADVERSE ECONOMIC EFFECTS INTO CONSIDERATION.

8. ARGENTINA INTRODUCED DRAFT TREATY ARTICLES ON TERRITORIAL SEA AND ECONOMIC ZONES. REP STATED THAT THESE ARTICLES ARE MEANT TO COMPLEMENT OTHER PROPOSALS, ESPECIALLY SANTO DOMINGO ARTICLES WHICH REP DESCRIBED AS POSITIVE BUT NOT COMPLETELY CONSISTENT WITH ARGENTINE LAW.

9. CHINA MADE BRIEF COMMENT ON STRAITS STATES PROPOSAL WHICH IT WELCOMED. REP STATED THAT THESE ARTICLES BASICALLY REFLECTED LEGITIMATE ASPIRATIONS OF STRAITS STATES AND ARE BASIS FOR DISCUSSION. CHINA REP SAID IT WOULD STUDY DRAFT ARTICLES SERIOUSLY AND WAS READY TO WORK WITH ALL ITS COLLEAGUES FOR REASONABLE SOLUTION TO QUESTION OF NAVIGATION THROUGH STRAITS. REMAINDER OF STATEMENT WAS DEVOTED TO CRITICISM SPECIFICALLY AIMED AT SPEECH BY SOVIET REP MALIK ON APRIL 2. HE DEFENDED RIGHT OF COUNTRIES TO CLAIM EXCLUSIVE ECONOMIC ZONES AND CHARGED USSR WITH PLUNDERING FISHERY RESOURCES OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES, NOTING SOVIET FISHING ACTIVITIES OFF AFRICAN COASTS. CHINESE REP CHARGED THAT SOVIET PROPOSAL AT 27 TH UNGA ON NON- USE OF FORCE WAS A HOAX.

10. DANISH REP STATED THAT TRANSIT THROUGH TERRITORIAL SEA AND STRAITS MUST BE TREATED SEPARATELY AND SUGGESTED THAT SPECIAL RULES BE DEVELOPED FOR NARROW STRAITS. HE ALSO SUGGESTED A SEPARATE REGIME COULD BE DEVELOPED FOR EACH NARROW STRAIT POSSIBLY WORKED OUT BY IMCO. HE CRITICIZED STRAITS COUNTRIES PROPOSAL AS JEOPARDIZING INTERNATIONAL NAVIGATION.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 STATE 070708

11. TURKEY INTRODUCED DRAFT ARTICLES RELATING TO THE TERRITORIAL SEA AND DELIMITATION BETWEEN ADJACENT AND OPPOSITE STATES.

12. USSR REPLIED TO CHINESE STATEMENT IN POLITICAL

TERMS, SAYING CHINA INSULTED 75 NATIONS WHO VOTED FOR  
RESOLUTION ON NON- USE OF FORCE AND THAT BILATERAL POLEMICAL  
ARGUMENTS WERE NOT GOOD METHODS FOR SOLVING LOS PROBLEMS.

13. TANZANIA REPLIED TO UK STATEMENT OF APRIL 4  
ANSWERING QUESTIONS ON US STRAITS PROPOSALS. REP  
SAID THAT IMCO SHOULD MAKE ALL STATES USE TRAFFIC  
SEPARATION SCHEMES. ALSO SAID THAT 12 MILE TERRITORIAL  
SEA NOT AN EXTENSION OF MAXIMUM LIMIT AND THUS FREE  
TRANSIT NOT JUSTIFIED. PHILLIPS UNQUOTE ROGERS

UNCLASSIFIED

\*\*\* Current Handling Restrictions \*\*\* n/a

\*\*\* Current Classification \*\*\* UNCLASSIFIED

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 16 APR 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973STATE070708  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** L/ OA: MHNORDQUIST  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** n/a  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** SECSTATE WASHDC  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19730442/aaaaiojl.tel  
**Line Count:** 183  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ORIGIN L  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 73 USUN NEW YORK 1241  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** cunninfx  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 06 AUG 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <06-Aug-2001 by reddocgw>; APPROVED <17-Aug-2001 by cunninfx>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** <DBA CORRECTED> jms 980116  
**Subject:** LOS: SUBCOMMITTEE II, APRIL 5, SECOND SESSION  
**TAGS:** PBOR, UN  
**To:** BUENOS AIRES  
COPENHAGEN  
DAR ES SALAAM  
HONG KONG  
KUALA LUMPUR  
OSLO  
SANTIAGO

**Type:** TE

**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005